

Representations of quantized function algebras and the transition matrices from Canonical bases to PBW bases

Hironori Oya

The University of Tokyo

Algebraic Lie Theory and Representation Theory 2015
Okayama, 7/6/2015

Quantized enveloping algebras

- \mathfrak{g} a finite dimensional complex simple Lie algebra
- $U_q(\mathfrak{g}) = \langle E_i, F_i, K_i^{\pm 1} (i \in I) \mid \text{“usual” relations} \rangle_{\mathbb{Q}(q)\text{-algebra}}$
(ex. $K_i E_j = q^{(\alpha_i, \alpha_j)} E_j K_i$, q -Serre relations, ...)
the quantized enveloping algebra/ $\mathbb{Q}(q)$ (a q -analogue of $U(\mathfrak{g})$)
- $U_q(\mathfrak{n}^-) = \langle F_i \mid i \in I \rangle_{\mathbb{Q}(q)\text{-algebra}} \subset U_q(\mathfrak{g})$

The quantized enveloping algebra $U_q(\mathfrak{g})$ has a Hopf algebra structure. In particular, its coalgebra structure is defined as follows:

$$\Delta(E_i) = E_i \otimes 1 + K_i \otimes E_i, \quad \Delta(F_i) = F_i \otimes K_i^{-1} + 1 \otimes F_i, \quad \Delta(K_i) = K_i \otimes K_i,$$

$$\varepsilon(E_i) = \varepsilon(F_i) = 0, \quad \varepsilon(K_i^{\pm 1}) = 1.$$

$$\text{Set } X_i^{(n)} := \frac{X_i^n}{[n]_i!} \text{ where } [n]_i! := \prod_{s=1}^n \frac{q_i^s - q_i^{-s}}{q_i - q_i^{-1}} \text{ and } q_i := q^{(\alpha_i, \alpha_i)/2}.$$

$$(X = E, F)$$

PBW bases

Let $\mathbf{i} = (i_1, i_2, \dots, i_N)$ be a reduced word of the longest element w_0 of the Weyl group W . (i.e. $w_0 = s_{i_1} s_{i_2} \cdots s_{i_N}$ $N :=$ the length of w_0 .)

Definition (The PBW bases)

The vectors

$$F_{\mathbf{i}}^{\mathbf{c}} := F_{i_1}^{(c_1)} T_{i_1,1}''(F_{i_2}^{(c_2)}) \cdots T_{i_1,1}'' T_{i_2,1}'' \cdots T_{i_{N-1},1}''(F_{i_N}^{(c_N)})$$

($\mathbf{c} = (c_1, c_2, \dots, c_N) \in (\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0})^N$) forms a basis of $U_q(\mathfrak{n}^-)$. Here, $T_{i,1}''$ is a q -analogue of the action of the braid group. (We follow the notation of Lusztig's textbook.)

Note that this is an **explicit** basis of $U_q(\mathfrak{n}^-)$.

(ex. $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{sl}_3$, $\mathbf{i} = (1, 2, 1)$, $F_{\mathbf{i}}^{(1,1,2)} = F_1(F_2F_1 - qF_1F_2)F_2^{(2)}$.)

Canonical bases

Let \mathbf{i} be a reduced word of w_0 . Then, there uniquely exists a basis $\{G^{\mathbf{c}}\}_{\mathbf{c}}$ of $U_q(\mathfrak{n}^-)$ such that

- $\overline{G^{\mathbf{c}}} = G^{\mathbf{c}}$, where $\overline{(\cdot)} \circlearrowleft U_q(\mathfrak{n}^-)$ given by $F_i \mapsto F_i, q \mapsto q^{-1}$
- $G^{\mathbf{c}} = F_{\mathbf{i}}^{\mathbf{c}} + \sum_{\mathbf{d} >_{\mathbf{c}} \mathbf{i}} \mathbf{i}\zeta_{\mathbf{d}}^{\mathbf{c}} F_{\mathbf{i}}^{\mathbf{d}}$ with $\mathbf{i}\zeta_{\mathbf{d}}^{\mathbf{c}} \in q\mathbb{Z}[q]$.

We consider the lexicographic order on $(\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0})^N$.

(ex. \mathfrak{g}, \mathbf{i} as above, $G^{(0,1,0)} = F_2 F_1 = (F_2 F_1 - q F_1 F_2) + q F_1 F_2$.)

Definition (The canonical basis)

We call $\{G^{\mathbf{c}}\}_{\mathbf{c}}$ the canonical basis of $U_q(\mathfrak{n}^-)$.

Remark

In fact, the canonical basis does not depend on the choice of \mathbf{i} . (The data \mathbf{c} depend on \mathbf{i} .)

In general, this is a **not explicit** basis despite having “nice” properties.

We investigate $\mathbf{i}\zeta_{\mathbf{d}}^{\mathbf{c}}$'s via the representation theory of the quantized

Quantized function algebras

The dual space $U_q(\mathfrak{g})^*$ of $U_q(\mathfrak{g})$ has a $\mathbb{Q}(q)$ -algebra structure induced from the coalgebra structure of $U_q(\mathfrak{g})$.

Definition (The quantized function algebra)

The quantized function algebra $\mathbb{Q}_q[G]$ is a subalgebra of $U_q(\mathfrak{g})^*$ generated (in fact, spanned) by the matrix coefficients

$$c_{f,v}^\lambda := (u \mapsto \langle f, u.v \rangle),$$

here,

- $\lambda \in P_+$ (= the set of dominant integral weight),
- $V(\lambda)$ the integrable highest weight $U_q(\mathfrak{g})$ -module with highest weight λ ,
- $f \in V(\lambda)^*$, $v \in V(\lambda)$.

Hopf algebra structure of QFAs

The algebra $\mathbb{Q}_q[G]$ has a Hopf algebra structure induced from the one of $U_q(\mathfrak{g})$ and a left and right $U_q(\mathfrak{g})$ -algebra structure. For example,

- $c_{f,v}^\lambda c_{f',v'}^{\lambda'} = c_{f \otimes f', v \otimes v'}^{V(\lambda) \otimes V(\lambda')}$
- $\Delta(c_{f,v}^\lambda) = \sum_j c_{f,v_j}^\lambda \otimes c_{f_j,v}^\lambda$
 $\{v_j\}_j$ a basis of $V(\lambda)$, $\{f_j\}_j$ the dual basis of $V(\lambda)^*$.

Representations of QFAs

The QFA $\mathbb{Q}_q[G]$ is a quantum analogue of the algebra of regular functions on G . (G the connected simply connected simple complex algebraic group whose Lie algebra is \mathfrak{g} .)

However, the algebra $\mathbb{Q}_q[G]$ has infinite dimensional irreducible modules:

$$\mathbb{Q}_q[G] \twoheadrightarrow \mathbb{Q}_{q_i}[SL_2] \curvearrowright V_i := \bigoplus_{m \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}} \mathbb{Q}(q) |m\rangle_i.$$

(dual to $U_{q_i}(\mathfrak{sl}_2) \hookrightarrow U_q(\mathfrak{g})$.)

Theorem (Soibelman ('90))

Let $w \in W$. Then, for any reduced expression $w = s_{i_1} \cdots s_{i_l}$, the $\mathbb{Q}_q[G]$ -module $V_{i_1} \otimes \cdots \otimes V_{i_l}$ is irreducible and its isomorphism class does not depend on the choice of the reduced expressions. Moreover, if $\mathbf{i} = (i_1, \dots, i_l)$ and $\mathbf{j} = (j_1, \dots, j_l)$ are reduced words of w , then there is a $\mathbb{Q}_q[G]$ -module isomorphism

$\Theta_{\mathbf{j}, \mathbf{i}} : V_{i_1} \otimes \cdots \otimes V_{i_l} \rightarrow V_{j_1} \otimes \cdots \otimes V_{j_l}$ given by

$$|(0)\rangle_{\mathbf{i}} \mapsto |(0)\rangle_{\mathbf{j}},$$

where $|\mathbf{c}\rangle_{\mathbf{k}} := |c_1\rangle_{k_1} \otimes \cdots \otimes |c_l\rangle_{k_l}$ ($\mathbf{k} = \mathbf{i}, \mathbf{j}$).

Hence, we denote this module by V_w . (i.e. $V_{i_1} \otimes \cdots \otimes V_{i_l}$ and $V_{j_1} \otimes \cdots \otimes V_{j_l}$ are identified via $\Theta_{\mathbf{j}, \mathbf{i}}$.)

Theorem (Kuniba-Okado-Yamada ('13))

Let \mathbf{i}, \mathbf{j} be reduced words of w_0 .

Define the $\mathbb{Q}(q)$ -linear isomorphism $\Phi_{\mathbf{k}} : U_q(\mathfrak{n}^-) \rightarrow V_{w_0}$ by

$F_{\mathbf{k}}^c \mapsto |\mathbf{c}\rangle_{\mathbf{k}}$. ($\mathbf{k} = \mathbf{i}, \mathbf{j}$)

Then, we have

$$\Phi_{\mathbf{j}} = \Theta_{\mathbf{j}, \mathbf{i}} \circ \Phi_{\mathbf{i}}.$$

This theorem says that the map $\Phi_{\mathbf{i}}$ does not depend on the choice of \mathbf{i} . (denoted by Φ_{KOY} .)

Our approach to the coefficients $i\zeta_d^c$:

(Recall that $G^c = F_i^c + \sum_{d>c} i\zeta_d^c F_i^d$.)

- (I) Find an element $c \in \mathbb{Q}_q[G]$ such that $\Phi_{\text{KOY}}^{-1}(c \cdot |(0)\rangle_i) \in U_q(\mathfrak{n}^-)$ “corresponds” to G^c .
- (II) Compute $c \cdot |(0)\rangle_i (= \Delta^{(N)}(c) \cdot |0\rangle_{i_1} \otimes \cdots \otimes |0\rangle_{i_N})$ directly.

In fact, (I) is easy by using “KOY’s conjecture” proved by Saito ('14) and Tanisaki ('14).

Notation

Fix a highest weight vector $v_\lambda \in V(\lambda)$.

Consider the nondegenerate symmetric bilinear form

$(\ , \) : V(\lambda) \times V(\lambda) \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}(q)$ given by

$$(v_\lambda, v_\lambda) = 1, (E_i.u, v) = (u, F_i.v), (K_i.u, v) = (u, K_i.v).$$

For $v \in V(\lambda)$, define $v^* \in V(\lambda)^*$ by $u \mapsto (v, u)$.

Results 1

Fix $\mathbf{c} \in (\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0})^N$ and a reduced word \mathbf{i} of w_0 .

Take a sufficiently large positive integer L . In particular,

${}_i\zeta_{\mathbf{d}}^{\mathbf{c}} \in q^{L-1}\mathbb{Z}[q^{-1}]$ for all \mathbf{d} . (This is NOT a sufficient condition of L .)

Set $\lambda := 2(N+1)L\rho$. Here, $\rho :=$ the Weyl vector.

Theorem (O-)

We have

$$\Phi_{\text{KOY}}^{-1}(C_{(G^{\mathbf{c}}.v_{\lambda})^*, v_{w_0\lambda}}^{\lambda} \cdot |(0)\rangle_{\mathbf{i}}) = G^{\mathbf{c}} + q^L \sum_{\mathbf{d} \in (\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0})^N} \eta_{\mathbf{d}} F_{\mathbf{i}}^{\mathbf{d}} \text{ with } \eta_{\mathbf{d}} \in \mathbb{Z}[q].$$

Here, $v_{w_0\lambda}$ is the lowest weight canonical basis element of $V(\lambda)$.

Remark that (RHS) = $\sum_{\mathbf{d}} ({}_i\zeta_{\mathbf{d}}^{\mathbf{c}} + q^L \eta_{\mathbf{d}}) F_{\mathbf{i}}^{\mathbf{d}}$. Set $G^{\mathbf{c}}.v_{\lambda} := G_{\lambda}^{\text{low}}(b_0)$.

Results 2

On the other hand, we have

$$\begin{aligned} c_{G_\lambda^{\text{low}}(b_0)^*, v_{w_0\lambda}}^\lambda \cdot |(0)\rangle_{\mathbf{i}} = \\ \sum_{b_1, \dots, b_{N-1} \in B(\lambda)} c_{G_\lambda^{\text{low}}(b_0)^*, G_\lambda^{\text{up}}(b_1)}^\lambda \cdot |0\rangle_{i_1} \otimes c_{G_\lambda^{\text{low}}(b_1)^*, G_\lambda^{\text{up}}(b_2)}^\lambda \cdot |0\rangle_{i_2} \\ \otimes \cdots \otimes c_{G_\lambda^{\text{low}}(b_{N-1})^*, v_{w_0\lambda}}^\lambda \cdot |0\rangle_{i_N}. \quad (\spadesuit) \end{aligned}$$

Here, $\left\{ G_\lambda^{\text{low/up}}(b) \right\}_{b \in B(\lambda)}$ is the canonical/dual canonical basis of $V(\lambda)$.

Results 3

Lemma

In any non-zero summand of the right-hand side of (♠), for all k ,

$$c_{G_\lambda^{\text{low}}(b_{k-1})^*, G_\lambda^{\text{up}}(b_k)}^\lambda \cdot |0\rangle_{i_k} = p_k |d_k\rangle_{i_k} \text{ with}$$

$$d_k := -\frac{1}{2} \langle \text{wt } b_{k-1} + \text{wt } b_k, \alpha_{i_k}^\vee \rangle \text{ and } p_k \in q^{-L}\mathbb{Z}[q].$$

Hence, we may ignore the degree $\geq NL$ part of the Laurent polynomial p_k for any k when calculating $i\zeta_{\mathbf{d}}^{\mathbf{c}}$.

Results 4

(Recall that $c_{G_\lambda^{\text{low}}(b_{k-1})^*, G_\lambda^{\text{up}}(b_k)} \cdot |0\rangle_{i_k} = p_k |d_k\rangle_{i_k}$.)

Theorem (O-)

In any summand of the right-hand side of (\spadesuit) which contributes to $i\zeta_{\mathbf{d}}^{\mathbf{c}}$,

- (i) $G_\lambda^{\text{low}}(b_k) \in U_q(\mathfrak{n}^-) \cdot v_{s_{i_k} \dots s_{i_1} \lambda}$ ($k = 0, \dots, N$), and
- (ii) the degree $< NL$ part of p_k is of the form $q_{i_k}^{\frac{1}{2}d_k(d_k-1)} d_{\mathbf{s}_k, \mathbf{t}}^{i_k, d_k}$ for some $\mathbf{t} \in (\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0})^N$. ($k = 1, \dots, l(w_0)$)

Here, $G^{\mathbf{s}_k} \cdot v_{s_{i_{k-1}} \dots s_{i_1} \lambda} = G_\lambda^{\text{low}}(b_{k-1})$ and $(e'_{i_k})^{d_k} (G^{\mathbf{s}_k}) = \sum_{\mathbf{t}} d_{\mathbf{s}_k, \mathbf{t}}^{i_k, d_k} G^{\mathbf{t}}$.
 (e'_{i_k} "the q -derivation")

Remark 1

In fact, this “calculation procedure” coincides with the one using the symmetric bilinear form $(\ , \)_-$ on $U_q(\mathfrak{n}^-)$ such that

$$(1, 1)_- = 1 \text{ and } (F_i x, y)_- = \frac{1}{1 - q_i^2} (x, e'_i(y))_-.$$

Sketch We have

$${}_i \zeta_{\mathbf{d}}^{\mathbf{c}} = (G^{\mathbf{c}}, \tilde{F}_{\mathbf{i}}^{\mathbf{d}})_-,$$

where $\tilde{F}_{\mathbf{i}}^{\mathbf{d}}$ is the dual PBW basis.

Calculate the right-hand side using the fact:

For $x, y \in \text{Ker } e'_i (\subset U_q(\mathfrak{n}^-))$, we have

$$(x, y) = ((T''_{i,1})^{-1}(x), (T''_{i,1})^{-1}(y)).$$

“Eliminate $T''_{i,1}$ ” \leftrightarrow “Go to subsequent Demazure modules”

Remark 2

The following property of canonical bases is technically important:

Proposition (Similarity of the structure constants)

We set

$$F_i^{(p)} G^{\text{low}}(b) = \sum_{\tilde{b} \in B(\infty)} c_{-pi, \tilde{b}}^{\tilde{b}} G^{\text{low}}(\tilde{b}),$$

$$(e'_i)^p (G^{\text{low}}(b)) = \sum_{\tilde{b} \in B(\infty)} d_{b, \tilde{b}}^{i, p} G^{\text{low}}(\tilde{b}).$$

Then, for any $b, \hat{b} \in B(\infty)$, $i \in I$ and $p \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$, we have

$$\left(c_{-pi, b}^{\hat{b}} \right)_{< -\Delta_i(d-1)p} = \left(q_i^{\frac{1}{2}d(d-1)} \begin{bmatrix} \varepsilon_i(\hat{b}) \\ p \end{bmatrix}_i d_{b, \hat{b}}^{i, d, \varepsilon_i(\hat{b})} \right)_{< -\Delta_i(d-1)p},$$

where $\Delta_i = (\alpha_i, \alpha_i)/2$ and $d := \varepsilon_i(\hat{b}) - p$.

Ref: arXiv1501.01416v2 (Slides: <http://www.ms.u-tokyo.ac.jp/~oya>)