

Twist automorphisms on quantum unipotent cells and the Chamber Ansatz

Hironori Oya

The University of Tokyo

Part of this work is joint with Yoshiyuki Kimura

Algebraic Lie Theory and Representation Theory 2017
Shizuoka, June 13, 2017

Aims of this talk:

- Establish a quantum analogue of the **Chamber Ansatz**
 - Relate **Feigin homomorphisms** to **quantum cluster structures**
 - Explicit description of **quantum twist automorphisms**
- The compatibility between quantum twist automorphisms and quantum cluster structures
- Partial results of the **periodicity** of quantum twist automorphisms

Introduction

Original story ($q = 1$): Consider the following torus embedding;

$$y_i: \begin{array}{ccc} (\mathbb{C}^\times)^\ell & \rightarrow & N_-^w := N_- \cap B_+ w B_+ \\ \cup & & \cup \\ (t_1, \dots, t_\ell) & \mapsto & \exp(t_1 F_{i_1}) \cdots \exp(t_\ell F_{i_\ell}). \end{array}$$

Here i is a reduced word of w and N_-^w is called a unipotent cell. This gives a birational morphism from \mathbb{C}^ℓ to a Schubert variety X_w .

By the way, the restriction $y_i|_{(\mathbb{R}_{>0})^\ell}$ gives a bijection between $(\mathbb{R}_{>0})^\ell$ and “totally positive elements” in N_-^w [Lusztig].

Problem

Describe the inverse birational morphism y_i^{-1} .

Berenstein, Fomin, Zelevinsky (1996, 1997) give formulae for y_i^{-1} , and the resulting substitutions are called “the Chamber Ansatz”. The key tool is a **twist automorphism** $\eta_w: N_-^w \rightarrow N_-^w$.

Example

$$\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{sl}_3, w = w_0 = s_1 s_2 s_1, \mathbf{i} = (1, 2, 1).$$

$$N_-^{w_0} = \left\{ \left(\begin{array}{ccc} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ x_{21} & 1 & 0 \\ x_{31} & x_{32} & 1 \end{array} \right) \middle| x_{31} \neq 0, x_{21}x_{32} - x_{31} \neq 0 \right\}.$$

Note that $x_{21}x_{32} - x_{31}$ is the minor corresponding to the row set $\{2, 3\}$ and the column set $\{1, 2\}$. (Such minor will be denoted by $\Delta_{23,12}$.)

Example

$\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{sl}_3$, $w = w_0$, $\mathbf{i} = (1, 2, 1)$, $N_-^{w_0} = \{\Delta_{3,1} \neq 0, \Delta_{32,12} \neq 0\}$.

$$y_1(t) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ t & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \quad y_2(t) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & t & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$y_{\mathbf{i}}(t_1, t_2, t_3) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ t_1 + t_3 & 1 & 0 \\ t_2 t_3 & t_2 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

Then, for $X = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ x_{21} & 1 & 0 \\ x_{31} & x_{32} & 1 \end{pmatrix}$, we have

$$t_1 = \frac{x_{21}x_{32} - x_{31}}{x_{32}} \quad t_2 = x_{32} \quad t_3 = \frac{x_{31}}{x_{32}}.$$

\rightsquigarrow The Chamber Ansatz (later) gives the general formulae!

Introduction (2)

There are known q -analogues $\mathbf{A}_q[N_-^w]$, Φ_i and $\eta_{w,q}$ of $\mathbb{C}[N_-^w]$, y_i and η_w^* . The q -analogue Φ_i of y_i is called a **Feigin homomorphism**.

Theorem (O.)

The Chamber Ansatz formulae also hold in quantum settings by using quantum twist automorphisms constructed by Kimura and the author.

By the work of Geiss-Leclerc-Schröer and Goodearl-Yakimov, it is known that there exist many other “embeddings of quantum tori into the quantum unipotent cell” $\mathbf{A}_q[N_-^w]$ as a consequence of their **quantum cluster algebra structures**.

The relation between these embedding and the embedding Φ_i are given by the quantum Chamber Ansatz formulae.

The Chamber Ansatz ($q = 1$)

Let

- \mathfrak{g} a semisimple Lie algebra over \mathbb{C} , $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{n}^- \oplus \mathfrak{h} \oplus \mathfrak{n}^+$ triangular decomposition (fixed),
- $\{E_i, F_i, H_i \mid i \in I\}$ Chevalley generators of \mathfrak{g} , $A = (a_{ij})_{i,j \in I}$ the Cartan matrix (i.e. $[H_i, E_j] = a_{ij}E_j, \dots$),
- G connected simply connected algebraic group (over \mathbb{C}) with $\text{Lie } G = \mathfrak{g}$,
- N_-, H, N_+ closed subgroups of G such that $\text{Lie } N_- = \mathfrak{n}^-$, $\text{Lie } H = \mathfrak{h}$, $\text{Lie } N_+ = \mathfrak{n}^+$,
- $B_- := N_-H$, $B_+ := HN_+$ Borel subgroups,
- $x_i(t) = \exp(tE_i)$, $y_i(t) = \exp(tF_i)$ 1-parameter subgroups corresponding to E_i, F_i ,
- $W := N_G(H)/H$ Weyl group, e its unit, $\{s_i \mid i \in I\}$ simple reflections, $\ell(w)$ the length of $w \in W$,

The Chamber Ansatz ($q = 1$)

Let \mathfrak{g} , G , N_{\pm} , H , B_{\pm} , $x_i(t)$, $y_i(t)$, W standard notation.

- $I(w) := \{(i_1, \dots, i_{\ell(w)}) \in I^{\ell(w)} \mid w = s_{i_1} \cdots s_{i_{\ell(w)}}\}$ the set of reduced words of $w \in W$,
- $\bar{s}_i := x_i(-1)y_i(1)x_i(-1)$, $\bar{w} := \bar{s}_{i_1} \cdots \bar{s}_{i_{\ell}}$, $(i_1, \dots, i_{\ell}) \in I(w)$.
In fact, \bar{w} does not depend on the choice of $(i_1, \dots, i_{\ell}) \in I(w)$.
- $\{\varpi_i\}_{i \in I} \subset \text{Hom}_{\text{alg.grp.}}(H, \mathbb{C}^{\times})$ fundamental weights.
- $G_0 := N_- H N_+$, and $g = [g]_- [g]_0 [g]_+$ ($g \in G_0$) the corresponding decomposition.

The Chamber Ansatz ($q = 1$)

Let \mathfrak{g} , G , N_{\pm} , H , B_{\pm} , $x_i(t)$, $y_i(t)$, W , $I(w)$, \bar{w} , ϖ_i standard notation. Set $G_0 := N_- H N_+$, $g = [g]_- [g]_0 [g]_+$ ($g \in G_0$).

Definition (Generalized minors)

For $i \in I$, denote by $\Delta_{\varpi_i, \varpi_i}$ the regular function on G whose restriction to the open dense set G_0 is given by

$$\Delta_{\varpi_i, \varpi_i}(g) := \varpi_i([g]_0)$$

For $w_1, w_2 \in W$, define $\Delta_{w_1 \varpi_i, w_2 \varpi_i} \in \mathbb{C}[G]$ by

$$\Delta_{w_1 \varpi_i, w_2 \varpi_i}(g) = \Delta_{\varpi_i, \varpi_i}(\bar{w}_1^{-1} g \bar{w}_2)$$

These elements are called *generalized minors*.

The Chamber Ansatz ($q = 1$) (2)

For $w \in W$, set $N_-^w := N_- \cap B_+ \bar{w} B_+$ unipotent cell.

Fact ([Berenstein, Fomin, Zelevinsky])

There is a biregular morphism $\eta_w: N_-^w \rightarrow N_-^w$ given by

$$\eta_w(z) := [z^T \bar{w}]_-.$$

This is called a *twist automorphism*.

Recall the map

$$y_{\mathbf{i}}: \begin{array}{ccc} (\mathbb{C}^\times)^\ell & \rightarrow & N_-^w \\ \cup & & \cup \\ (t_1, \dots, t_\ell) & \mapsto & y_{i_1}(t_1) \cdots y_{i_\ell}(t_\ell). \end{array}$$

Here $\mathbf{i} = (i_1, \dots, i_\ell) \in I(w)$.

The Chamber Ansatz ($q = 1$) (2)

For $w \in W$, set $N_-^w := N_- \cap B_+ \bar{w} B_+$ unipotent cell.

Fact ([Berenstein, Fomin, Zelevinsky])

There is a biregular morphism $\eta_w: N_-^w \rightarrow N_-^w$ given by

$$\eta_w(z) := [z^T \bar{w}]_-.$$

This is called a twist automorphism.

Theorem (Berenstein, Fomin, Zelevinsky)

Let $\mathbf{i} = (i_1, \dots, i_\ell) \in I(w)$. For $m \in \{1, \dots, \ell\}$, set $w_{\leq m} := s_{i_1} \cdots s_{i_m}$. Set $y = y_{\mathbf{i}}(t_1, \dots, t_\ell)$. Then, for $k \in \{1, \dots, \ell\}$,

$$t_k = \frac{\prod_{j \in I \setminus \{i_k\}} \Delta_{w_{\leq k} \varpi_j, \varpi_j} (\eta_w^{-1}(y))^{-a_{j, i_k}}}{\Delta_{w_{\leq k-1} \varpi_{i_k}, \varpi_{i_k}} (\eta_w^{-1}(y)) \Delta_{w_{\leq k} \varpi_{i_k}, \varpi_{i_k}} (\eta_w^{-1}(y))}.$$

These formulae are called the **Chamber Ansatz**.

Example

$\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{sl}_3$, $w = w_0$, $\mathbf{i} = (1, 2, 1)$, $N_-^{w_0} = \{\Delta_{3,1} \neq 0, \Delta_{32,12} \neq 0\}$. Recall

$$t_1 = \frac{x_{21}x_{32} - x_{31}}{x_{32}} \quad t_2 = x_{32} \quad t_3 = \frac{x_{31}}{x_{32}}.$$

The twist automorphism η_{w_0} is given by

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ x_{21} & 1 & 0 \\ x_{31} & x_{32} & 1 \end{pmatrix} \mapsto \begin{pmatrix} 1 & & 0 & 0 \\ x_{32}/x_{31} & & 1 & 0 \\ 1/x_{31} & x_{21}/(x_{21}x_{32} - x_{31}) & & 1 \end{pmatrix},$$

and $\eta_{w_0}^{-1}$ is given by

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ x_{21} & 1 & 0 \\ x_{31} & x_{32} & 1 \end{pmatrix} \mapsto \begin{pmatrix} 1 & & 0 & 0 \\ x_{32}/(x_{21}x_{32} - x_{31}) & & 1 & 0 \\ 1/x_{31} & x_{21}/x_{31} & & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Example

$\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{sl}_3$, $w = w_0$, $\mathbf{i} = (1, 2, 1)$, $N_-^{w_0} = \{\Delta_{3,1} \neq 0, \Delta_{32,12} \neq 0\}$. Recall

$$t_1 = \frac{x_{21}x_{32} - x_{31}}{x_{32}} \quad t_2 = x_{32} \quad t_3 = \frac{x_{31}}{x_{32}}.$$

$$\eta_{w_0}^{-1}: X = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ x_{21} & 1 & 0 \\ x_{31} & x_{32} & 1 \end{pmatrix} \mapsto \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ x_{32}/(x_{21}x_{32} - x_{31}) & 1 & 0 \\ 1/x_{31} & x_{21}/x_{31} & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Therefore, we have

$$t_1 = \frac{1}{\Delta_{2,1}(\eta_{w_0}^{-1}(X))} \quad t_2 = \frac{\Delta_{2,1}(\eta_{w_0}^{-1}(X))}{\Delta_{23,12}(\eta_{w_0}^{-1}(X))}$$
$$t_3 = \frac{\Delta_{23,12}(\eta_{w_0}^{-1}(X))}{\Delta_{2,1}(\eta_{w_0}^{-1}(X))\Delta_{3,1}(\eta_{w_0}^{-1}(X))}.$$

q -analogue

From now on, we consider a q -analogue of the story above. In the settings of q -analogues, we do not have “actual spaces” but only have “coordinate algebras”. Hence we should consider the situations above in terms of coordinate algebras.

The map y_i^* induces an injective algebra homomorphism

$$y_i^*: \mathbb{C}[N_-^w] \rightarrow \mathbb{C}[t_1^{\pm 1}, \dots, t_\ell^{\pm 1}].$$

The twist automorphism η_w induces the algebra automorphism

$$\eta_w^*: \mathbb{C}[N_-^w] \rightarrow \mathbb{C}[N_-^w].$$

A q -analogue of the former is known as a **Feigin homomorphism** and that of the latter is a **quantum twist automorphism**, constructed by Kimura and the author.

Notation

Let

- $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{n}^+ \oplus \mathfrak{h} \oplus \mathfrak{n}^-$ a symmetrizable Kac-Moody Lie algebra (\supset finite dimensional simple Lie algebra) over \mathbb{C} with (fixed) triangular decomposition,
- $\{\alpha_i\}_{i \in I}$ the simple roots of \mathfrak{g} , $\{h_i\}_{i \in I}$ the simple coroots of \mathfrak{g} ,
- P a \mathbb{Z} -lattice (weight lattice) of \mathfrak{h}^* and $P^* := \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}}(P, \mathbb{Z}) \subset \mathfrak{h}$ such that $\{\alpha_i\}_{i \in I} \subset P$ and $\{h_i\}_{i \in I} \subset P^*$,
- $P_+ := \{\lambda \in P \mid \langle h_i, \lambda \rangle \geq 0 \text{ for all } i \in I\}$. Set $\langle h_i, \varpi_j \rangle = \delta_{ij}$.
- W the Weyl group of \mathfrak{g} ($W \curvearrowright P, P^*$),
- $I(w)$ the set of reduced words of $w \in W$,
- $(-, -) : P \times P \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}$ a \mathbb{Q} -valued (W -invariant) symmetric \mathbb{Z} -bilinear form on P satisfying the following conditions:
 $(\alpha_i, \alpha_i) \in 2\mathbb{Z}_{>0}$, $\langle \lambda, h_i \rangle = 2(\lambda, \alpha_i) / (\alpha_i, \alpha_i)$ for $i \in I$, $\lambda \in P$.

Definition (Quantized enveloping algebras)

The quantized enveloping algebra $U_q := U_q(\mathfrak{g})$ over $\mathbb{Q}(q)$ is the $\mathbb{Q}(q)$ -algebra generated by

$$e_i, f_i \ (i \in I), \ q^h \ (h \in P^*),$$

with the following relations:

(i) $q^0 = 1, \ q^h q^{h'} = q^{h+h'}$,

(ii) $q^h e_i = q^{\langle h, \alpha_i \rangle} e_i q^h, \ q^h f_i = q^{-\langle h, \alpha_i \rangle} f_i q^h,$

(iii) $[e_i, f_j] = \delta_{ij} \frac{t_i - t_i^{-1}}{q_i - q_i^{-1}}$ where $q_i := q^{\frac{(\alpha_i, \alpha_i)}{2}}$ and $t_i := q^{\frac{(\alpha_i, \alpha_i)}{2} h_i}$,

(iv) $\sum_{k=0}^{1-\langle h_i, \alpha_j \rangle} (-1)^k x_i^{(k)} x_j x_i^{(1-\langle h_i, \alpha_j \rangle - k)} = 0$ for $i \neq j, \ x = e, f,$

where $x_i^{(n)} := x_i^n / [n]_i!, \ [n]_i! := \prod_{k=1}^n (q_i^k - q_i^{-k}) / (q_i - q_i^{-1}).$

Quantum unipotent subgroup

Let \mathbf{U}_q^- be the subalgebra of \mathbf{U}_q generated by $\{f_i\}_{i \in I}$ and $\mathbf{U}_{\mathbb{Q}[q^{\pm 1}]}$ the $\mathbb{Q}[q^{\pm 1}]$ -subalgebra of \mathbf{U}_q^- generated by $\{f_i^{(n)}\}_{i \in I, n \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}}$.

Definition

There exists a unique nondegenerate symmetric $\mathbb{Q}(q)$ -bilinear form $(\ , \)_L: \mathbf{U}_q^- \times \mathbf{U}_q^- \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}(q)$ such that

$$(1, 1)_L = 1, \quad (f_i x, y)_L = \frac{1}{1 - q_i^2} (x, e'_i(y))_L.$$

where $e'_i: \mathbf{U}_q^- \rightarrow \mathbf{U}_q^-$ is the $\mathbb{Q}(q)$ -linear map given by

$$e'_i(xy) = e'_i(x)y + q_i^{\langle \text{wt } x, h_i \rangle} x e'_i(y), \quad e'_i(f_j) = \delta_{ij},$$

for homogeneous elements $x, y \in \mathbf{U}_q^-$.

Quantum unipotent subgroup

Let \mathbf{U}_q^- be the subalgebra of \mathbf{U}_q generated by $\{f_i\}_{i \in I}$ and $\mathbf{U}_{\mathbb{Q}[q^{\pm 1}]}^-$ the $\mathbb{Q}[q^{\pm 1}]$ -subalgebra of \mathbf{U}_q^- generated by $\{f_i^{(n)}\}_{i \in I, n \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}}$.

Definition

There exists a unique nondegenerate symmetric $\mathbb{Q}(q)$ -bilinear form $(\ , \)_L: \mathbf{U}_q^- \times \mathbf{U}_q^- \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}(q)$.

Set

$$\mathbf{A}_{\mathbb{Q}[q^{\pm 1}]}[N_-] := \{x \in \mathbf{U}_q^- \mid (x, \mathbf{U}_{\mathbb{Q}[q^{\pm 1}]}^-)_L \in \mathbb{Q}[q^{\pm 1}]\}.$$

Then $\mathbf{A}_{\mathbb{Q}[q^{\pm 1}]}[N_-]$ is a $\mathbb{Q}[q^{\pm 1}]$ -subalgebra of \mathbf{U}_q^- .

Specialization:

$$\mathbf{U}_q^- \supset \mathbf{A}_{\mathbb{Q}[q^{\pm 1}]}[N_-] \xrightarrow[\mathbb{C} \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}[q^{\pm 1}]}^-]{\text{"}q \rightarrow 1\text{"}} (\mathbf{U}(\mathfrak{n}^-))_{\text{gr}}^* \simeq \mathbb{C}[N_-].$$

Thus we can regard \mathbf{U}_q^- **also** as a q -analogue of the coordinate algebra $\mathbb{C}[N_-]$.

Quantum closed unipotent cell

Proposition (Kashiwara)

For $w \in W$ and $\mathbf{i} = (i_1, \dots, i_\ell) \in I(w)$, set

$$\mathbf{U}_{q,w}^- := \sum_{a_1, \dots, a_\ell} \mathbb{Q}(q) f_{i_1}^{a_1} \cdots f_{i_\ell}^{a_\ell}.$$

Then the following hold:

- (1) The subspace $\mathbf{U}_{q,w}^-$ does not depend on the choice of $\mathbf{i} \in I(w)$.
- (2) Set $(\mathbf{U}_{q,w}^-)^\perp := \{x \in \mathbf{U}_q^- \mid (x, \mathbf{U}_{q,w}^-)_L = 0\}$. Then $(\mathbf{U}_{q,w}^-)^\perp$ is a two-sided ideal of \mathbf{U}_q^- .

Set

$$(\mathbf{U}_{q,w}^-)_{\mathbb{Q}[q^{\pm 1}]}^\perp := \{x \in (\mathbf{U}_{q,w}^-)^\perp \mid (x, \mathbf{U}_{\mathbb{Q}[q^{\pm 1}]}^-)_L \subset \mathbb{Q}[q^{\pm 1}]\},$$

Quantum closed unipotent cell (2)

Definition (Quantum closed unipotent cell)

For $w \in W$, set

$$\mathbf{A}_q[\overline{N_-^w}] := \mathbf{U}_q^- / (\mathbf{U}_{q,w}^-)^\perp = \mathbb{Q}(q) \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}[q^{\pm 1}]} \left(\mathbf{A}_{\mathbb{Q}[q^{\pm 1}]}[N_-] / (\mathbf{U}_{q,w}^-)_{\mathbb{Q}[q^{\pm 1}]}^\perp \right).$$

This is an algebra, called a *quantum closed unipotent cell*, by the proposition above.

In fact, we have

$$\mathbf{A}_{\mathbb{Q}[q^{\pm 1}]}[\overline{N_-^w}] := \mathbf{A}_{\mathbb{Q}[q^{\pm 1}]}[N_-] / (\mathbf{U}_{q,w}^-)_{\mathbb{Q}[q^{\pm 1}]}^\perp \xrightarrow[\mathbb{C} \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}[q^{\pm 1}]}^-]{\text{“}q \rightarrow 1\text{”}} \mathbb{C}[\overline{N_-^w}].$$

Unipotent quantum minors

For $\lambda \in P_+$, denote by $V(\lambda)$ the integrable highest weight \mathbf{U}_q -module generated by a highest weight vector u_λ of weight λ . For $w \in W$ and $\mathbf{i} \in I(w)$, set

$$u_{w\lambda} = f_{i_1}^{\langle \langle h_{i_1}, s_{i_2} \cdots s_{i_\ell} \lambda \rangle \rangle} \cdots f_{i_{\ell-1}}^{\langle \langle h_{i_{\ell-1}}, s_{i_\ell} \lambda \rangle \rangle} f_{i_\ell}^{\langle \langle h_{i_\ell}, \lambda \rangle \rangle} \cdot u_\lambda.$$

There exists a unique nondegenerate and symmetric bilinear form $(\ , \)_\lambda: V(\lambda) \times V(\lambda) \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}(q)$ such that

$$(u_\lambda, u_\lambda)_\lambda = 1 \quad (e_i \cdot u, v)_\lambda = (u, f_i \cdot v)_\lambda \quad (q^h \cdot u, v)_\lambda = (u, q^h \cdot v)_\lambda$$

for $u, v \in V(\lambda)$, $i \in I$ and $h \in P^*$.

Definition (Unipotent quantum minors)

For $\lambda \in P_+$ and $u, v \in V(\lambda)$, define an element $D_{u,v} \in \mathbf{U}_q^-$ by

$$(D_{u,v}, x)_L = (u, x \cdot v)_\lambda \text{ for arbitrary } x \in \mathbf{U}_q^-.$$

For $w_1, w_2 \in W$, write $D_{w_1\lambda, w_2\lambda} := D_{u_{w_1\lambda}, u_{w_2\lambda}}$.

Quantum unipotent cell

Proposition

Let $w \in W$. Then $\underline{\mathcal{D}}_w := q^{\mathbb{Z}} \{ \underline{D}_{w\lambda, \lambda} \}_{\lambda \in P_+}$ is an Ore set of $\mathbf{A}_q[\overline{N_-^w}]$ consisting of q -central elements.

Definition (Quantum unipotent cells)

For $w \in W$, we can consider the algebras of fractions

$$\mathbf{A}_q[N_-^w] := \mathbf{A}_q[\overline{N_-^w}][\underline{\mathcal{D}}_w^{-1}]$$

by the proposition above. This algebra is called *a quantum unipotent cell*.

Theorem (Kimura-O)

Let $w \in W$. Then there exists the automorphism of the $\mathbb{Q}(q)$ -algebra

$$\eta_{w,q}: \mathbf{A}_q[N_-^w] \rightarrow \mathbf{A}_q[N_-^w],$$

given by

$$\underline{D_{u,u_\lambda}} \mapsto q^{-(\lambda, \text{wt } u - \lambda)} \underline{D_{w\lambda, \lambda}}^{-1} \underline{D_{u_{w\lambda}, u}}$$

for all $\lambda \in P_+$ and weight vectors $u \in V(\lambda)$.

We call $\eta_{w,q}$ a **quantum twist automorphism**.

Feigin homomorphisms

Definition (Feigin homomorphisms)

Let $\mathbf{i} = (i_1, \dots, i_\ell) \in I^\ell$. The Laurent q -polynomial algebra $\mathcal{L}_{\mathbf{i}}$ is the unital associative $\mathbb{Q}(q)$ -algebra generated by $t_1^{\pm 1}, \dots, t_\ell^{\pm 1}$ subject to the relations;

$$\begin{aligned}t_j t_k &= q^{(\alpha_{i_j}, \alpha_{i_k})} t_k t_j \text{ for } 1 \leq j < k \leq \ell, \\t_k t_k^{-1} &= t_k^{-1} t_k = 1 \text{ for } 1 \leq k \leq \ell.\end{aligned}$$

Then we can define the $\mathbb{Q}(q)$ -linear map $\Phi_{\mathbf{i}}: \mathbf{U}_q^- \rightarrow \mathcal{L}_{\mathbf{i}}$ by

$$x \mapsto \sum_{\mathbf{a}=(a_1, \dots, a_\ell) \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^\ell} q_{\mathbf{i}}(\mathbf{a})(x, f_{i_1}^{(a_1)} \cdots f_{i_\ell}^{(a_\ell)})_L t_1^{a_1} \cdots t_\ell^{a_\ell},$$

where $q_{\mathbf{i}}(\mathbf{a}) := \prod_{k=1}^{\ell} q_{i_k}^{a_k(a_k-1)/2}$. Note that the all but finitely many summands in the right-hand side are zero. The map $\Phi_{\mathbf{i}}$ is called a *Feigin homomorphism*.

Feigin homomorphisms (2)

Proposition (Berenstein)

- (1) For $\mathbf{i} \in I^\ell$, the map $\Phi_{\mathbf{i}}$ is a $\mathbb{Q}(q)$ -algebra homomorphism.
- (2) For $w \in W$ and $\mathbf{i} \in I(w)$, we have $\text{Ker } \Phi_{\mathbf{i}} = (\mathbf{U}_{w,q}^-)^\perp$.
- (3) For $w \in W$, $\mathbf{i} = (i_1, \dots, i_\ell) \in I(w)$ and $\lambda \in P_+$, we have

$$\Phi_{\mathbf{i}}(D_{w\lambda,\lambda}) = q_{\mathbf{i}}(\mathbf{d}) t_1^{d_1} \cdots t_\ell^{d_\ell}$$

where $\mathbf{d} = (d_1, \dots, d_\ell)$ with $d_k := \langle h_{i_k}, s_{i_{k+1}} \cdots s_{i_\ell} \lambda \rangle$.

Hence $\Phi_{\mathbf{i}}$ gives rise to an injective algebra homomorphism

$$\Phi_{\mathbf{i}}: \mathbf{A}_q[N_-^w] \rightarrow \mathcal{L}_{\mathbf{i}}.$$

The quantum Chamber Ansatz

Theorem (O.)

Let $w \in W$, $\mathbf{i} = (i_1, \dots, i_\ell) \in I(w)$ and $k \in \{1, \dots, \ell\}$. Then

$$(\Phi_{\mathbf{i}} \circ \eta_{w,q}^{-1})(\underline{D}_{w \leq k \varpi_{i_k}, \varpi_{i_k}}) = \left(\prod_{j=1}^k q_{i_j}^{d_j(d_j+1)/2} \right) t_1^{-d_1} t_2^{-d_2} \dots t_k^{-d_k},$$

where $d_j := \langle h_{i_j}, s_{i_{j+1}} \dots s_{i_k} \varpi_{i_k} \rangle$ ($j = 1, \dots, k$). Denote this element by $D'_{w \leq k \varpi_{i_k}, \varpi_{i_k}}(\mathbf{i}) \in \mathcal{L}_{\mathbf{i}}$.

Corollary (The quantum Chamber Ansatz)

Let $\mathbf{i} = (i_1, \dots, i_\ell) \in I(w)$. Then, for $k \in \{1, \dots, \ell\}$,

$$t_k \simeq (D'_{w \leq k-1 \varpi_{i_k}, \varpi_{i_k}}(\mathbf{i}))^{-1} (D'_{w \leq k \varpi_{i_k}, \varpi_{i_k}}(\mathbf{i}))^{-1} \prod_{j \in I \setminus \{i_k\}} (D'_{w \leq k \varpi_j, \varpi_j}(\mathbf{i}))^{-a_{j, i_k}},$$

here the right-hand side is determined up to powers of q .

Example

$\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{sl}_3$, $w = w_0$, $\mathbf{i} = (1, 2, 1)$. Write $D_{s_1\pi_1, \pi_1} = D_{2,1}$ etc.. (In type A, the unipotent quantum minors associated with the fundamental representations correspond to the q -analogues of usual minors.)

$$\eta_{w,q}^{-1}(D_{2,1}) = D_{23,12}^{-1}D_{13,12}, \eta_{w,q}^{-1}(D_{23,12}) = qD_{23,12}^{-1}, \eta_{w,q}^{-1}(D_{3,1}) = qD_{3,1}^{-1}.$$

$$\left(\text{cf. } \eta_{w_0}^{-1}(X) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ x_{32}/(x_{21}x_{32} - x_{31}) & 1 & 0 \\ 1/x_{31} & x_{21}/x_{31} & 1 \end{pmatrix} \right)$$

$$D'_{2,1}(\mathbf{i}) = qt_1^{-1} \quad D'_{23,12}(\mathbf{i}) = q^2t_1^{-1}t_2^{-1} \quad D'_{3,1}(\mathbf{i}) = q^2t_2^{-1}t_3^{-1}.$$

Hence,

$$t_1 = q(D'_{2,1}(\mathbf{i}))^{-1} \quad t_2 = q(D'_{23,12}(\mathbf{i}))^{-1}D'_{2,1}(\mathbf{i}) \\ t_3 = (D'_{2,1}(\mathbf{i}))^{-1}(D'_{3,1}(\mathbf{i}))^{-1}D'_{23,12}(\mathbf{i}).$$

Quantum cluster algebra

A quantum cluster algebra is a **subalgebra of the fraction field** \mathcal{F} of a quantum torus \mathcal{T}_M , determined by a skew-symmetric bilinear form $\Lambda: \mathbb{Z}^\ell \times \mathbb{Z}^\ell \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$, which determines the data of the q -commutativity of the variables.

The initial data (M, Λ_M, B) , called *an initial quantum seed*.

- M a toric chart, which indicates a quantum torus \mathcal{T}_{Λ_M} (or quantum cluster) inside \mathcal{F}
- B a exchange matrix, which governs *mutation*.

The quantum cluster algebra $\mathcal{A}_{q^{\pm 1/2}}(M, \Lambda_M, B)$ is defined as the $\mathbb{Q}[q^{\pm 1/2}]$ -subalgebra of \mathcal{F} generated by all quantum clusters obtained by iterated mutations.

Quantum cluster algebra (2)

The following property is known as *the Laurent phenomenon*.

Proposition ([Berenstein-Zelevinsky])

$$\mathcal{A}_{q^{\pm 1/2}}(M, \Lambda_M, B) \subset \bigcap_M \mathcal{T}_M.$$

Geiss-Leclerc-Schröer have realized the quantum unipotent cell $\mathbf{A}_q[N_-^w]$ as the quantum cluster algebras constructed from the representations of preprojective algebras. This is called *the additive categorification* of $\mathbf{A}_q[N_-^w]$. In particular, we have the two kinds of “quantum torus embedding”;

$$\mathcal{L}_i \xleftarrow{\text{Feigin homomorphism}} \mathbf{A}_q[N_-^w] \xrightarrow{\text{cluster structure}} \mathcal{T}_M$$

We will explain their relations. (In fact, the answer is already given by the quantum Chamber Ansatz!)

Relation with GLS theory

From now on, we assume that \mathfrak{g} is a **symmetric** Kac-Moody Lie algebra. Let $Q = (Q_0, Q_1, s, t)$ be a corresponding finite quiver without oriented cycles. Denote by Λ the preprojective algebra corresponding to Q , that is,

$$\Lambda := \mathbb{C}\overline{Q} / \left(\sum_{a \in Q_1} (a^*a - aa^*) \right),$$

here $\mathbb{C}\overline{Q}$ is the path algebra of the double quiver of Q . For a nilpotent Λ -module X , we can define $\varphi_X \in \mathbb{C}[N_-^w]$ satisfying the following:

$$\varphi_X(y_i(t_1, \dots, t_\ell)) = \sum_{\mathbf{a}=(a_1, \dots, a_\ell) \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^\ell} \chi(\mathcal{F}_{i, \mathbf{a}, X}) t_1^{a_1} \cdots t_\ell^{a_\ell},$$

here $i \in I(w)$, χ denotes the Euler characteristic, and $\mathcal{F}_{i, \mathbf{a}, X}$ is the projective variety of flags $X_\bullet = (X = X_0 \supset X_1 \supset \cdots \supset X_\ell = 0)$ of submodules of X such that $X_{k-1}/X_k \simeq S_{i_k}^{a_k}$ for $1 \leq k \leq \ell$ [Lusztig].

Relation with GLS theory (2)

Buan-Iyama-Reiten-Scott have constructed a 2-Calabi-Yau Frobenius subcategory \mathcal{C}_w of Λ -modules, and Geiß-Leclerc-Schröer have proved that

$$\mathbb{C}[N_-^w] = \text{span}_{\mathbb{C}}\{\varphi_X \mid X \in \mathcal{C}_w\}[\{\varphi_I \mid I: \mathcal{C}_w\text{-injective-projective}\}^{-1}].$$

Here an object is projective in \mathcal{C}_w (\mathcal{C}_w -projective) if and only if it is injective in \mathcal{C}_w (\mathcal{C}_w -injective) since \mathcal{C}_w is Frobenius.

For $X \in \mathcal{C}_w$, denote by $I(X)$ the injective hull of X in \mathcal{C}_w , and by $\Omega_w^{-1}(X)$ the cokernel of $X \rightarrow I(X)$.

$$0 \rightarrow X \rightarrow I(X) \rightarrow \Omega_w^{-1}(X) \rightarrow 0.$$

Relation with GLS theory (3)

Theorem (GLS)

Let $w \in W$. For $X \in \mathcal{C}_w$, $\eta_w^*(\varphi_X) = \varphi_{I(X)}^{-1} \varphi_{\Omega_w^{-1}(X)}$.

GLS have also constructed the algebra $\mathbf{A}_q[N^w]$ from \mathcal{C}_w and constructed a q -analogue of φ_M , denoted by Y_M , for every reachable rigid module M . By using the theorem above, we obtain the following:

Theorem (Kimura-O)

Let $w \in W$. For a reachable rigid module M ,

$$\eta_{w,q}(Y_M) \simeq Y_{I(M)}^{-1} Y_{\Omega_w^{-1}(M)}.$$

This theorem states that *quantum cluster monomials (which admit the inverses at the frozen part) are preserved by the quantum twist automorphism.*

Relation with GLS theory (4)

In GLS's categorification, the initial seed $\mathbb{Y}_i := \{Y_{M_{i,k}}\}_{k=1,\dots,\ell}$ corresponds to $\{D_{w \leq k} \varpi_{i_k}, \varpi_{i_k}\}_{k=1,\dots,\ell}$. This is nothing but the elements appearing in the (quantum) Chamber Ansatz formulae.

By Theorem above, the elements $\mathbb{Y}'_i := \{\eta_{w,q}^{-1}(Y_{M_{i,k}})\}_{i=1,\dots,\ell}$ are also a cluster (up to frozen variables). Hence, via the quantum Chamber Ansatz formulae,

*Calculating the image of the Feigin homomorphism “=”
Calculating the cluster expansion with respect to \mathbb{Y}'_i .*

Example

$$\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{sl}_3, \quad w = w_0, \quad \mathbf{i} = (1, 2, 1).$$

$$\eta_{w,q}^{-1}(D_{2,1}) = D_{23,12}^{-1} D_{13,12}, \quad \eta_{w,q}^{-1}(D_{23,12}) = q D_{23,12}^{-1}, \quad \eta_{w,q}^{-1}(D_{3,1}) = q D_{3,1}^{-1}.$$

Now $\{D_{2,1}, D_{23,12}, D_{3,1}\}$ is the initial quantum cluster, and $\{D_{13,12}, D_{23,12}, D_{3,1}\}$ is also a quantum cluster.

For example, we have $\Phi_{\mathbf{i}}(D_{2,1}) = t_1 + t_3$. Hence,

$$\Phi_{\mathbf{i}}(D_{2,1}) = q(D'_{2,1}(\mathbf{i}))^{-1} + (D'_{2,1}(\mathbf{i}))^{-1}(D'_{3,1}(\mathbf{i}))^{-1} D'_{23,12}(\mathbf{i}).$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} D_{2,1} &= q D_{13,12}^{-1} D_{23,12} + D_{13,12}^{-1} D_{23,12} D_{3,1} D_{23,12}^{-1} \\ &= q D_{13,12}^{-1} D_{23,12} + D_{13,12}^{-1} D_{3,1}. \end{aligned}$$

Periodicity

It is known that

$$(\Omega_{w_0}^{-1})^6(M) \simeq M$$

for an indecomposable non-projective-injective module M . This property suggests (and proves in the ADE case) the “6-periodicity” of the specific quantum twist automorphism $\eta_{w_0, q}$. Assume that \mathfrak{g} is **finite** dimensional, and let w_0 be the longest element of W .

Theorem (Kimura-O.)

For a homogeneous element $x \in \mathbf{A}_q[N_-^{w_0}]$, we have

$$\eta_{w_0, q}^6(x) = q^{(\text{wt } x + w_0 \text{ wt } x, \text{wt } x)} D_{w_0, -\text{wt } x - w_0 \text{ wt } x} x.$$

We proved this theorem purely in the algebraic method (not using the categorification). Hence this result is also valid for the non-symmetric case.

Periodicity

Assume that \mathfrak{g} is finite dimensional, and let w_0 be the longest element of W .

Theorem (Kimura-O.)

For a homogeneous element $x \in \mathbf{A}_q[N_-^{w_0}]$, we have

$$\eta_{w_0, q}^6(x) = q^{(\text{wt } x + w_0 \text{ wt } x, \text{wt } x)} D_{w_0, -\text{wt } x - w_0 \text{ wt } x} x.$$

We proved this theorem purely in the algebraic method (not using the categorification). Hence this result is also valid for the non-symmetric case.

When the action of w_0 on P is given by $\mu \mapsto -\mu$, the theorem above states that $\eta_{w_0, q}^6 = \text{id}$ (“really” periodic). If \mathfrak{g} is simple, then this condition is satisfied in the case that \mathfrak{g} is of type B_n, C_n, D_{2n} for $n \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$ and E_7, E_8, F_4, G_2 .